REMARKS

These remarks are in response to the Office Action dated April 18, 2007.

I. Restriction/Election

In response to the Restriction/Election Requirement dated April 18, 2007, Applicants elect Group II (claims 40-79 and 81-85) without traverse.

It should be noted that in the Office Action, the Examiner failed to place claims 83-85 in any particular group. Since these claims depend from claim 82, Applicants have included these dependent claims in Group II and request that they are included in the prosecution of the present application.

II. In The Claims

Claims 1-85 were pending in the application at the time of examination. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner cancel claims 1-39 and 80 without prejudice to Applicants' rights to pursue these claims in a separate application.

CONCLUSION

Each of the matters in the Office Action dated April 18, 2007 has been addressed and no new matter has been added. Applicants submit that all of pending claims 40-79 and 81-85 are in condition for allowance and notice to this effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney if such communication would expedite the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 16, 2007

Douglas A. Oguss Registration No. 48,469 Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610 (312) 321-4200